

# Pro and Con Arguments about LOST (Law of the Sea Treaty)

## Cons

Some "cons" prove the stupidity of America's so-called "leaders".

Other cons are more like flimflam *Con Jobs* to sweet talk America into paying for its own traitorous sellout.

**1. Con** — *US Senate renders itself obsolete, Americans pay new taxes AND lose power in representative government*  
If the US Senate approves LOST, it hands its power to unelected, unaccountable UN bureaucrats. Americans will pay new taxes to the UN without representation and lose our voice in a range of international affairs in "the seas" and beyond.

**2. Con** — *International racketeering and criminal corruption*

If the US Senate ratifies LOST, the UN and its ISA subsidiary have powers to run rackets that steal wealth from the fruits of other people's labors. Chances for corruption and cronyism abound and, based on UN history, shall thrive big-time.

**3. Con** — *LOST = collectivism, centralized planning and communism*

The UN's ISA becomes Earth's centralized planner to develop and use all "marine environment" resources. ISA makes all rules for users in, under, on and over the oceans, and what users *must share* of their labors. It's a form of communism.

**4. Con** — *LOST requires "technology sharing"*

ISA selects technologies nations must share with (give to) competing nations. It's more collectivism & communism. With power to award permits for ocean/seabed development, it's corporate welfare; picking winners and making losers.

**5. Con** — *LOST opens floodgates to "Lawfare" – warfare through lawsuits, being sued to death*

Part of LOST is "Pollution from land-based sources" with ill-defined criteria. Rules will be made and change along the way. The world thinks the USA is very rich. Potential exists to sue the USA to death for "pollution", real or imagined.

**6. Con** — *LOST has over 150 member nations ~ most hostile toward the USA*

The ISA format is 1 nation = 1 vote. Many Third World nations covet US wealth. To spitefully keep some things out of US hands, and take other things from the USA, Third Worlders need only "gang vote" to get free things at US expense.

**7. Con** — *The USA will pay 25% of the ISA's yearly budget – by expanding the USA's 25% commitment to the UN*

Of the 150+ member nations that signed the ISA's UNCLOS/LOST agreement, maybe 10 account for two-thirds of Earth's Gross World Product. *Most of the 150 are increasingly hostile toward the US* and mooching free rides. By paying 25% of the ISA's yearly budget, the USA shall pay undeveloped and under-developed nations to oppose and thwart Americans.

**8. Con** — *President Reagan's objections to LOST were fixed by Bill Clinton's 1994 "Agreement"*

Experts opposed to LOST are certain that Clinton's "Agreement" *fixed nothing*. LOST still has all its collectivism, central planning, communism, technology-sharing giveaways and gross loss of US national sovereignty. LOST is still anti-USA.

**9. Con** — *The US Navy loses autonomy on the high seas*

LOST reserves for itself the authority & power to define what are military uses of the seas; thus far ill-defined. An ISA hostile to the USA can wield this power to effectively castrate the US Navy. We lose if 150 nations vote against the USA.

**10. Con** — *US Navy sovereignty is paramount. LOST strips it away.*

Many things the US Navy now does will require special permission after the USA signs LOST. We trade away full freedom to get back a fraction. Our Navy will be in a choker chain and handcuffs to do functions of national defense and protect America's interests on the high seas. Identified is a list of naval tasks that will be curtailed or stopped.

**11. Con** — *LOST steals freedom, autonomy and US national sovereignty*

This is the accumulative result of Cons 1-10. Americans are free to do less. Decision-making power is stripped from us. A symptom of lost US sovereignty is begging special permission from an evermore hostile world governance administration.

**12. Con** — *LOST hands the fate of America's national defense to known corrupt, despotic tyrants*

LOST empowers the ISA to decide when and where who does what on, in, under and over the oceans. UN bureaucrats ran the Oil for Food scam, led rape gangs in Africa, and stood by idly for mass genocides. LOST effectively gives control of US military forces to this corrupt bureaucracy of despotic-tyrants who run rackets to pilfer millions & billions of dollars.

**13. Con** — *LOST is a tool to help solidify the economic enslavement stranglehold of global elitists on Earth's populace*

Despite conspiracy naysayers, some super-rich are a cabal of World-Dominator-wannabes. They made the EU. Behind the scenes, the cabal manipulates & forces the USA into their North American Union (NAU). They own people-puppets in the UN, US gov'ts, CFR (Council of Foreign Relations) and many other nation-influencing groups.

LOST is connective tissue, linking various elitist tools that consolidate global administration, control, power and authority.

**14. Con** — *LOST's "me too" mob mentality tricks US leaders to jump onboard before the boat sails leaving us behind*

Two types in US leadership are LOST champions; global elitist puppets (traitors), and so-called leaders (dupes) who don't fully read or understand LOST. Both clamor to jump onboard to "get a seat at the table" for divvying up sea resources.

**15. Con** — *Co-writer of LOST peddles "me too" mob mentality because the USA must go along to get along*

Professor Bernard Oxman, ex-Navy, says the USA is the last big holdout resisting LOST, inferring that all other nations can't possibly be wrong and the USA is the only one that's right. He misleadingly downplayed LOST's risks to the Senate.

**16. Con** — *LOST sets aside "international commons" and protects "the common heritage of all mankind"*

Environmentalists will use such premises to put off-limits as much of the oceans and seabed as possible. They'll be part of

the "Lawfare" war cutting edge. They'll thwart sea development/exploitation by business and sue the wealthiest. Stopping ANWAR gas & oil development on Alaska's Arctic coast was tiny compared to future energy-independence STOP signs.

**17. Con** — *LOST grants official permits to develop/exploit ocean & seabed resources, and expands territory claims*  
This sales pitch seduces businesses interested in working the seas. They must pay exorbitant nuisance fees for permits and yearly renewals. Those dubious "value-added" costs shall be passed to consumers; you and me, fellow Americans. Further, there are no guarantees that US businesses' applications will win awards for official permits.

**18. Con** — *LOST will protect US fishing waters from poaching*  
This nice sentiment means well. But, paper never stops physical presence. Anyone who wants to fish US fisheries will do so unless stopped forcefully or by threat of force. This is, in reality, a "feel good" pie-in-the-sky provision of LOST.

**19. Con** — *Several so-called US "leaders" say LOST is good, LOST is great. Approve LOST. (see **Bad Guys list**)*  
The duty of a true Patriot is to smartly question actions taken by the leaders they elect as representatives. This list of "cons" is already long. Would truly patriotic and good leaders have missed all these things that harm the USA?

**20. Con** — *The USA already abides by most of LOST's requirements*  
LOST specifies several requirements. President Reagan found most unacceptable. America now follows only its acceptable terms, voluntarily and/or per independent agreements. Reaganites still strongly oppose all national sovereignty sellouts.

## 1 Pro LOST + many Pro killing LOST reasons

**1. Pro** — *About LOST: "We need this treaty to lock in the rights we already have."* Rear Adm. Bruce MacDonald  
Above is the US Navy's judge advocate general's reason that the USA needs to sign LOST. Rights we already have include navigation and transit rights. LOST would make official naval practices at work 200+ years before LOST. Value added?

**1. Pro killing LOST** — *LOST is collectivism ~ a variant of communism ~ fascist Marxism*  
Centralize resources. "Collectivize" ostensibly for the "good of all mankind". Never mind who administers oversight of all things collected. Do what you're told. If not, you're collected for re-education and attitude adjustment – IF you're allowed to live! Unelected, unaccountable oligarchs control fascist communistic collectivism. No competition, no free enterprise.

**2. Pro killing LOST** — *Justice = LOST Tribunals ???*  
Tribunals adjudicate legal battles between claimants who sign the LOST/UNCLOS agreement. All nations have 1 vote as does the USA. When many nations combine to oppose the USA, ISA's 5 or 21-"judge" tribunals can execute mandates to hurt America. Often, justice and "law" go opposite directions. Such anti-USA "kangaroo courts" can deliberately hurt us.

**3. Pro killing LOST** — *after the USA signs LOST, ISA has the power to change its rules without USA consent*  
Article 314 of LOST (located in Part XVII) empowers the ISA/LOST bureaucracy to amend treaty provisions (i.e., move the goalposts after the ball is airborne, to capriciously change rules) **despite objection by any nation** after it signs LOST.

**4. Pro killing LOST** — *environmentalist organizations will use LOST to hinder, thwart and sue US businesses*  
Groups often called "enviro-nazis" see LOST as a boon to their industry and long-range job security. LOST gives them a UN/ISA-sized hammer to beat on deep-pocket businesses in the name of protecting Earth's environment. It's not so much a license to protect "mother earth" as it is legalized robbery, plundering & pillaging of technocrats who build economies.

**5. Pro killing LOST** — *Communist China, Russia and India will use LOST to hammer the USA*  
The USA has a "Proliferation Security Initiative" (PSI). It says US Navy ships can interdict seagoing vessels that are known or suspected to be engaged in terrorism and/or helping proliferate WMDs (Weapons of Mass Destruction). China, always an anti-US, seize-the-offense antagonist, and Russia and India claim that our PSI violates LOST.

**6. Pro killing LOST** — *US Navy loses its seafaring supremacy and military advantages*  
Is it in America's best interests to give up our autonomy on the high seas when many nations are hostile toward us? For example, communist China's military encircles us, growing its western hemisphere presence in Panama (controlling Pacific and Caribbean ports), Mexico, the Caribbean Sea, and befriending anti-USA communist dictators in Cuba and Venezuela.

**7. Pro killing LOST** — *Giving away ("sharing") our technological edge*  
LOST "sharing" stipulations go beyond mere technology transfer. **Article 140** says that Third World countries and "national liberation movements" [such as PLO terrorists] are "peoples who have not attained full independence or other self-governing status..." are entitled to get gifts of "shared" advanced technologies. **Article 82**, is titled, "Payments and contributions with respect to the exploitation of the continental shelf beyond 200 nautical miles." It says that coastal nations, like the USA, shall make "payments or contributions" via the ISA. This facilitates "shared technology" gift giving.

**8. Pro killing LOST** — *Corruption & fraud already abounds in the ISA Secretary General's office*  
The ISA has no Ethics Office. It has no requirement for its insiders to report real or potential conflicts of interest. Satya Nandan and its top ISA/UN officials and consultant cronies got questionable payments and curious contracts. Nandan, wily UN veteran potentate, got some such whatnots. Lots of money flowed through the ISA and paid deluxe prices.

**9. Pro killing LOST** — *UN/ISA despots get powers to tax Americans with no representation*

**Taxation without representation = tyranny**

Must our generation re-learn lessons passed down to us long ago by our Founders?

**10. Pro killing LOST** — *Don't grovel to UN/ISA despots for highly politicized permits to work the seas*

The ISA (which calls itself "The Authority") will dole out permits/licenses to whomever it deigns can work the oceans. It will implement a bureaucracy to include "the Enterprise" (a mining subsidiary), and Assembly, Council, and/or committees and commissions. Moreover, the Authority can make business applicants, now reduced to oceangoing serfs, do surveys and other tasks for the ISA and/or the Enterprise; which is a government-funded competitor and regulator. Chances are very good that any choice plums and diamonds surveys find ISA insiders will jealously hoard for themselves and cronies.

**11. Pro killing LOST** — *Article 213 paves the way for "Bash USA 'lawfare'"*

"Let the lawsuits begin against the USA." Thus Jeremy Rabkin (Enterprise Institute) coined the term "Lawfare", warfare through adjudication. In plain English, the USA gets sued to death.

**12. Pro killing LOST** — *Kyoto Protocol in disguise*

LOST is a de facto backdoor to impose and enforce the Kyoto Protocol on the USA even without our signature. LOST's "Enforcement of land-based pollution sources" is the lever to impose the Kyoto Protocol on US industry.

---

# Pro and Con Arguments about LOST (Law of the Sea Treaty)

---

## Cons

Some "cons" prove the stupidity of America's so-called "leaders".

Other cons are more like flimflam *Con Jobs* to sweet talk America into paying for its own traitorous sellout.

---

**1. Con** — *US Senate renders itself obsolete, Americans pay new taxes AND lose power in representative government*

If the US Senate approves LOST, it hands its power to unelected, unaccountable UN bureaucrats. Americans will pay new taxes to the UN without representation and lose our voice in a range of international affairs in "the seas" and beyond.

---

**2. Con** — *International racketeering and criminal corruption*

If the US Senate ratifies LOST, the UN and its ISA subsidiary have powers to run rackets that steal wealth from the fruits of other people's labors. Chances for corruption and cronyism abound and, based on UN history, shall thrive big-time.

---

**3. Con** — *LOST = collectivism, centralized planning and communism*

The UN's ISA becomes Earth's centralized planner to develop and use all "marine environment" resources. ISA makes all rules for users in, under, on and over the oceans, and what users *must share* of their labors. It's a form of communism.

---

**4. Con** — *LOST requires "technology sharing"*

ISA selects technologies nations must share with (give to) competing nations. It's more collectivism & communism. With power to award permits for ocean/seabed development, it's corporate welfare; picking winners and making losers.

---

**5. Con** — *LOST opens floodgates to "Lawfare" – warfare through lawsuits, being sued to death*

Part of LOST is "Pollution from land-based sources" with ill-defined criteria. Rules will be made and change along the way. The world thinks the USA is very rich. Potential exists to sue the USA to death for "pollution", real or imagined.

---

**6. Con** — *LOST has over 150 member nations ~ most hostile toward the USA*

The ISA format is 1 nation = 1 vote. Many Third World nations covet US wealth. To spitefully keep some things out of US hands, and take other things from the USA, Third Worlders need only "gang vote" to get free things at US expense.

---

**7. Con** — *The USA will pay 25% of the ISA's yearly budget – by expanding the USA's 25% commitment to the UN*

Of the 150+ member nations that signed the ISA's UNCLOS/LOST agreement, maybe 10 account for two-thirds of Earth's Gross World Product. *Most of the 150 are increasingly hostile toward the US* and mooching free rides. By paying 25% of the ISA's yearly budget, the USA shall pay undeveloped and under-developed nations to oppose and thwart Americans.

---

**8. Con** — *President Reagan's objections to LOST were fixed by Bill Clinton's 1994 "Agreement"*

Experts opposed to LOST are certain that Clinton's "Agreement" *fixed nothing*. LOST still has all its collectivism, central planning, communism, technology-sharing giveaways and gross loss of US national sovereignty. LOST is still anti-USA.

---

**9. Con** — *The US Navy loses autonomy on the high seas*

LOST reserves for itself the authority & power to define what are military uses of the seas; thus far ill-defined. An ISA hostile to the USA can wield this power to effectively castrate the US Navy. We lose if 150 nations vote against the USA.

---

**10. Con** — *US Navy sovereignty is paramount. LOST strips it away.*

Many things the US Navy now does will require special permission after the USA signs LOST. We trade away full freedom to get back a fraction. Our Navy will be in a choker chain and handcuffs to do functions of national defense and protect America's interests on the high seas. Identified is a list of naval tasks that will be curtailed or stopped.

---

**11. Con** — *LOST steals freedom, autonomy and US national sovereignty*

This is the accumulative result of Cons 1-10. Americans are free to do less. Decision-making power is stripped from us. A symptom of lost US sovereignty is begging special permission from an evermore hostile world governance administration.

---

**12. Con** — *LOST hands the fate of America's national defense to known corrupt, despotic tyrants*

LOST empowers the ISA to decide when and where who does what on, in, under and over the oceans. UN bureaucrats ran the Oil for Food scam, led rape gangs in Africa, and stood by idly for mass genocides. LOST effectively gives control of US military forces to this corrupt bureaucracy of despotic-tyrants who run rackets to pilfer millions & billions of dollars.

---

**13. Con** — *LOST is a tool to help solidify the economic enslavement stranglehold of global elitists on Earth's populace*

Despite conspiracy naysayers, some super-rich are a cabal of World-Dominator-wannabes. They made the EU. Behind the scenes, the cabal manipulates & forces the USA into their North American Union (NAU). They own people-puppets in the UN, US gov'ts, CFR (Council of Foreign Relations) and many other nation-influencing groups.

LOST is connective tissue, linking various elitist tools that consolidate global administration, control, power and authority.

---

**14. Con** — *LOST's "me too" mob mentality tricks US leaders to jump onboard before the boat sails leaving us behind*

Two types in US leadership are LOST champions; global elitist puppets (traitors), and so-called leaders (dupes) who don't fully read or understand LOST. Both clamor to jump onboard to "get a seat at the table" for divvying up sea resources.

---

**15. Con** — *Co-writer of LOST peddles "me too" mob mentality because the USA must go along to get along*

Professor Bernard Oxman, ex-Navy, says the USA is the last big holdout resisting LOST, inferring that all other nations can't possibly be wrong and the USA is the only one that's right. He misleadingly downplayed LOST's risks to the Senate.

---

**16. Con** — *LOST sets aside “international commons” and protects “the common heritage of all mankind”*

Environmentalists will use such premises to put off-limits as much of the oceans and seabed as possible. They'll be part of the “Lawfare” war cutting edge. They'll thwart sea development/exploitation by business and sue the wealthiest. Stopping ANWAR gas & oil development on Alaska's Arctic coast was tiny compared to future energy-independence STOP signs.

**17. Con** — *LOST grants official permits to develop/exploit ocean & seabed resources, and expands territory claims*

This sales pitch seduces businesses interested in working the seas. They must pay exorbitant nuisance fees for permits and yearly renewals. Those dubious “value-added” costs shall be passed to consumers; you and me, fellow Americans. Further, there are no guarantees that US businesses' applications will win awards for official permits.

**18. Con** — *LOST will protect US fishing waters from poaching*

This nice sentiment means well. But, paper never stops physical presence. Anyone who wants to fish US fisheries will do so unless stopped forcefully or by threat of force. This is, in reality, a “feel good” pie-in-the-sky provision of LOST.

**19. Con** — *Several so-called US “leaders” say LOST is good, LOST is great. Approve LOST. (see **Bad Guys** list)*

The duty of a true Patriot is to smartly question actions taken by the leaders they elect as representatives. This list of “cons” is already long. Would truly patriotic and good leaders have missed all these things that harm the USA?

**20. Con** — *The USA already abides by most of LOST's requirements*

LOST specifies several requirements. President Reagan found most unacceptable. America now follows only its acceptable terms, voluntarily and/or per independent agreements. Reaganites still strongly oppose all national sovereignty sellouts.

## 1 Pro LOST + many Pro killing LOST reasons

**1. Pro** — *About LOST: “We need this treaty to lock in the rights we already have.”* Rear Adm. Bruce MacDonald

Above is the US Navy's judge advocate general's reason that the USA needs to sign LOST. Rights we already have include navigation and transit rights. LOST would make official naval practices at work 200+ years before LOST. **Value added?**

**1. Pro killing LOST** — *LOST is collectivism ~ a variant of communism ~ fascist Marxism*

Centralize resources. “Collectivize” ostensibly for the “good of all mankind”. Never mind who administers oversight of all things collected. Do what you're told. If not, you're collected for re-education and attitude adjustment – IF you're allowed to live! Unelected, unaccountable oligarchs control fascist communistic collectivism. No competition, no free enterprise.

**2. Pro killing LOST** — *Justice = LOST Tribunals ???*

Tribunals adjudicate legal battles between claimants who sign the LOST/UNCLOS agreement. All nations have 1 vote as does the USA. When many nations combine to oppose the USA, ISA's 5 or 21-“judge” tribunals can execute mandates to hurt America. Often, justice and “law” go opposite directions. Such anti-USA “kangaroo courts” can deliberately hurt us.

**3. Pro killing LOST** — *after the USA signs LOST, ISA has the power to change its rules without USA consent*

Article 314 of LOST (located in Part XVII) empowers the ISA/LOST bureaucracy to amend treaty provisions (i.e., move the goalposts after the ball is airborne, to capriciously change rules) **despite objection by any nation** after it signs LOST.

**4. Pro killing LOST** — *environmentalist organizations will use LOST to hinder, thwart and sue US businesses*

Groups often called “enviro-nazis” see LOST as a boon to their industry and long-range job security. LOST gives them a UN/ISA-sized hammer to beat on deep-pocket businesses in the name of protecting Earth's environment. It's not so much a license to protect “mother earth” as it is legalized robbery, plundering & pillaging of technocrats who build economies.

**5. Pro killing LOST** — *Communist China, Russia and India will use LOST to hammer the USA*

The USA has a “Proliferation Security Initiative” (PSI). It says US Navy ships can interdict seagoing vessels that are known or suspected to be engaged in terrorism and/or helping proliferate WMDs (Weapons of Mass Destruction). China, always an anti-US, seize-the-offense antagonist, and Russia and India claim that our PSI violates LOST.

**6. Pro killing LOST** — *US Navy loses its seafaring supremacy and military advantages*

Is it in America's best interests to give up our autonomy on the high seas when many nations are hostile toward us? For example, communist China's military encircles us, growing its western hemisphere presence in Panama (controlling Pacific and Caribbean ports), Mexico, the Caribbean Sea, and befriending anti-USA communist dictators in Cuba and Venezuela.

**7. Pro killing LOST** — *Giving away (“sharing”) our technological edge*

LOST “sharing” stipulations go beyond mere technology transfer. **Article 140** says that Third World countries and “national liberation movements” [such as PLO terrorists] are “peoples who have not attained full independence or other self-governing status...” are entitled to get gifts of “shared” advanced technologies. **Article 82**, is titled, “Payments and contributions with respect to the exploitation of the continental shelf beyond 200 nautical miles.” It says that coastal nations, like the USA, shall make “payments or contributions” via the ISA. This facilitates “shared technology” gift giving.

**8. Pro killing LOST** — *Corruption & fraud already abounds in the ISA Secretary General's office*

The ISA has no Ethics Office. It has no requirement for its insiders to report real or potential conflicts of interest. Satya Nandan and its top ISA/UN officials and consultant cronies got questionable payments and curious contracts. Nandan, wily UN veteran potentate, got some such whatnots. Lots of money flowed through the ISA and paid deluxe prices.

**9. Pro killing LOST** — *UN/ISA despots get powers to tax Americans with no representation*

**Taxation without representation = tyranny**

Must our generation re-learn lessons passed down to us long ago by our Founders?

---

**10. Pro killing LOST** — *Don't grovel to UN/ISA despots for highly politicized permits to work the seas*

The ISA (which calls itself "The Authority") will dole out permits/licenses to whomever it deigns can work the oceans. It will implement a bureaucracy to include "the Enterprise" (a mining subsidiary), and Assembly, Council, and/or committees and commissions. Moreover, the Authority can make business applicants, now reduced to oceangoing serfs, do surveys and other tasks for the ISA and/or the Enterprise; which is a government-funded competitor and regulator. Chances are very good that any choice plums and diamonds surveys find ISA insiders will jealously hoard for themselves and cronies.

---

**11. Pro killing LOST** — *Article 213 paves the way for "Bash USA 'lawfare'"*

"Let the lawsuits begin against the USA." Thus Jeremy Rabkin (Enterprise Institute) coined the term "Lawfare", warfare through adjudication. In plain English, the USA gets sued to death.

---

**12. Pro killing LOST** — *Kyoto Protocol in disguise*

LOST is a de facto backdoor to impose and enforce the Kyoto Protocol on the USA even without our signature. LOST's "Enforcement of land-based pollution sources" is the lever to impose the Kyoto Protocol on US industry.

---